

The Western Human Rights Industry

- psychological warfare, terrorism, fraud.



The Case of Nicaragua

Introduction

John Heartfield's famous photomontage of [a newspaper cabbagehead](#) had the caption “Whoever Reads Bourgeois Newspapers Becomes Blind and Deaf!” The caption is even more true now as the 21st Century moves into its third decade. Western reporting of all kinds is strained through the filters of corporate dominated intellectual managerial classes in every sphere: science, law, culture, medicine and finance, in foreign and domestic news media, in non governmental organizations and in multilateral international agencies. Directly or indirectly, every area of reporting has become increasingly shaped by corporate funding,

The Western intellectual managerial classes have generally abandoned fundamental reporting norms and standards. Western organizations and institutions claiming to be accountable and transparent are not – neither UN bodies nor regional institutions like the Organization of American States, nor any of the major international NGOs. Practically invariably, reporting by these kinds of agencies systematically omits facts inconvenient to their findings, denies relevant actors a fair chance to make their case, and systematically avoids corroboration of their findings by genuinely independent sources.

This chronic reporting crisis reflects the reality of class power relations in North America and Europe. As Henry Wallace wrote in the New York Times on April 9th 1944. “The American fascist would prefer not to use violence. His method is to poison the channels of public information.” Wallace's comments prefigured the fascist union of corporate and government power in North America and Europe which since the time of the Cold War has successfully manipulated Western public opinion on every issue of international importance.

Thus, people in the West generally continue to see themselves as morally superior to people in the majority world. They continue to talk about their countries in North America or the European Union as democracies whose leaders mean well, have the best interests of their peoples at heart and generally seek to do good in the world. Every few years, Western electorates vote for which flavor of fascism they prefer, apparently unaware that dictatorships too have elections and elite leadership struggles.

These three articles, one from early 2019 and two from last year, along with the accompanying references, treat the case of Nicaragua and the failed coup attempt of 2018 as one more example of the West's chronic reporting crisis. It is a crisis which, in the most anti-democratic way, denies a true and fair account of events to people in North America and Europe.

Nicaragua's experience very much reflects the systematic poisoning of information sources in the West in relation both to other countries and also to other contexts, of which the sadistic persecution of Julian Assange is perhaps the most emblematic.

Stephen Sefton. Nicaragua. March 31st 2021

Nicaragua and the corruption, cooptation of human rights

Stephen Sefton, Tortilla con Sal, January 4th 2019

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5244>

Since the demise of the Soviet Union, almost 30 years ago, abuse and debasement of human rights concerns have served increasingly to create pretexts promoting Western dominance around the world. From former Yugoslavia and Rwanda, to Iraq and Sudan, to Ivory Coast, Libya and Syria, to Myanmar and Ukraine, Western governments have used non governmental human rights organizations and abuse of the United Nations system to attack countries resisting the demands of US and allied elites and the governments they control.

In Latin America, that dynamic has long targeted Cuba, more recently Venezuela, now Nicaragua and will soon attack Bolivia and probably Mexico too, if the new progressive government there shows too much independence. The US and European elites have stepped up their efforts at regime change in Latin America and the Caribbean so as to guarantee access to and control of the region's abundant natural resources, because Chinese and Russian influence is blocking their accustomed control of the majority world in Eurasia and Africa.

Like Venezuela previously, Nicaragua has been targeted by the US dominated Organization of American States using local US and European funded non-profit proxies inside Nicaragua and Western corporate dominated non-governmental organizations. They have manipulated international and regional human rights institutions so as to violate fundamental precepts of international law like self-determination and non-intervention. Just as in the 1980s in Nicaragua, Angola, Mozambique and elsewhere, and now both Venezuela and Nicaragua again, violent armed non-governmental actors have been used to destabilize the country and create a context allowing false reporting of human rights concerns so as to discredit revolutionary governments.

As independent US writer Max Blumenthal pointed out in an [interview in July](#) last year, "...how I know that there was a regime change operation afoot – and when I say “regime change operation,” I mean an attack not just on a government but on the nation-state, a plan to reduce a country to a failed state like Libya – is that Ken Roth surfaced after the Nicaraguan government had essentially won and removed the roadblocks, allowing the economy which had bled \$500 million to start functioning again, allowing citizens to start moving around. Ken Roth, the dictator of Human Rights Watch, who has been in the same position for 25 years, catering to a small cadre of billionaires and elite foundations with almost no constituency base, blamed the government for every single death. Meaning that zero Sandinistas died according to Ken Roth."

Blumenthal's insight into the inextricable relationship between human rights NGOs and Western corporate elites suggests a series of points which categorically undermine glib acceptance of false human rights accusations against Nicaragua. The Inter-American Commission for Human Rights, the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights and NGOs like Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch are all guilty of extreme bad faith, non-compliance with basic norms and adherence to long discredited theoretical nostrums. In effect, they are themselves all accomplices to very serious human rights violations by Nicaragua's US supported armed opposition. Four main considerations apply.

Firstly, on technical grounds none of these organizations have adhered even to the [Huridocs guidelines](#), a tool created by and for Western government and corporate funded human rights organizations. The guidelines propose concepts and good practice in relation to fact-finding, documentation and monitoring of human rights violations. The IACHR, the UNOHCHR, Amnesty International and other human rights organizations have categorically failed to comply with the HURIDOCS guidelines. In terms of fact finding, they systematically [omit sources and facts](#) that contradict or exclude their preferred finding. In terms of documentation, they systematically exclude abundant documentation from Nicaraguan government ministries, from the public prosecutor's office, from the legislature's Truth and Justice Commission, from the Institute of Legal Medicine and from the Office of the Procurator for Human Rights.

All that information to a greater or lesser extent contradicts the bogus fact finding of the OAS, the UN and foreign NGOs. In terms of monitoring the situation in Nicaragua, all those institutions and organizations depend exclusively on virulently politically biased local media, NGOs and opposition activists. So even on their own terms, their methodology does not comply with basic concepts and standards and, thus, the kinds of cases they have built to justify their findings would never stand up to impartial legal scrutiny. One farcical aspect of their approach has been to accuse the Nicaraguan government of repressing local media when their main sources by far are abundant citations of false reports from those same local media, relayed via dishonest local human rights NGOs.

Secondly, in theoretical terms, the approach of the IACHR, the UNOHCHR and foreign NGOs like Amnesty International has been to exclude violations by non-State actors, exactly the same faithless alibi they all used during the Cold War. But that theoretical framework has been outdated since 1993 when the UN Human Rights Convention in Vienna explicitly recognized the role of non-State actors in human rights abuses (thus recognizing how the US government and its allies used irregular forces, like the Contra in Nicaragua, RENAMO in Mozambique and UNITA in Angola, to apply systematic terrorism against civilian populations). As Carlos Emilio Lopez a leading Nicaraguan human rights activist and legislator [has pointed out](#):

"In 1993, with the approval of the Vienna Declaration of Human Rights, the subject of respect for human rights was re-conceptualized. For many years it was considered that only States should respect human rights but that understanding is already out of date. The reconceptualization of human rights is that States must respect human rights but companies, churches, organizations must also do so, social organizations, oligopolies, the media, people as individuals. In other words, we are all obliged to respect human rights, not only State institutions." Thus, every time Amnesty International or the IACHR claim their remit excludes non-State actors, they are appealing to a theoretical framework 30 years out of date deliberately so as to wash their hands of abuses by political actors with whom they sympathize.

Thirdly, specifically with regard to Amnesty International, their organization has been corrupted and co-opted over many years now by corporate influence via links through their senior personnel with corporate globalization advocates whose explicit aim is to undermine and diminish the role of sovereign nation states. Amnesty International's [Secretary General](#) and senior directors, their [International Board](#) and its [Secretary General's Global Council](#) freely advertise their background working either directly with multinational corporations, or with corporate funders or with other heavily corporate funded non profits.

In this, Amnesty International, like Human Rights Watch, is very similar to the [Purpose/AVAAZ](#) corporate human rights conglomerate. Their human rights activities are guided by emphatic neoliberal hostility to nation-State governments, such that their reporting deliberately sets out to exclude or discredit information from government or other official sources. More broadly in Latin American and the Caribbean, accompanying the [encroaching cooptation](#) of NGOs by corporate predators like Purpose, the overtly political [Atlas network](#) supports NGOs promoting extreme right wing policies across the region, thus facilitating the ascent to power of fascists like Jair Bolsonaro.

Fourthly, that corporate corruption and cooptation of [Sean MacBride's](#) original vision of the role and work of Amnesty International and similar organizations, is clearly manifest in their demonstrable bias in favor of US and allied countries' foreign policy priorities. In that regard, [Professor Francis Boyle](#), among many others, has been an authoritative and trenchant critic of Amnesty International's role in Palestine and elsewhere, whereby it downplays or minimizes violations by States allied to NATO countries. On the other hand, institutions like the IACHR and the UNOHCHR and organizations like Amnesty International, systematically exaggerate and even invent violations in countries targeted by NATO member country governments. Thus in Latin America, the current horrific record of human rights violations in Colombia and, until AMLO, in Mexico, has been played down and minimized, while events in Cuba, Venezuela and now Nicaragua have been systematically misrepresented.

All these concerns about the practical bad faith, theoretical dishonesty, corporate co-optation and outright political bias of human rights institutions and organizations should give any intellectually honest person of progressive views pause. People genuinely concerned about human rights should reassess what they think they know about Nicaragua and about Venezuela too. The US and allied country corporate elites are determined to use the governments, institutions and NGOs they have bought, to destroy resistance to their domination in Latin America and the Caribbean. However, the 60th anniversary this year of Cuba's revolution, together with the 40th anniversary of the Sandinista Revolution in Nicaragua and the 20th anniversary of Venezuela's Bolivarian revolution suggest they will not have things all their own way.

Human rights fraud from Ukraine to Nicaragua

Stephen Sefton, Tortilla con Sal, July 26th 2020

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9816>

Current Western human rights industry practice has nothing to do with establishing the truth. Increasingly in recent years, US and allied elites have sought to legitimize illegal aggression by exploiting human rights motifs in their attempts to recolonize the majority world.

In any given crisis, human rights NGOs funded by the US and allied corporate elites and governments deploy sensationalist false claims, for example of police murdering peaceful protestors, so as to create a cognitive limbo of doubt and suspicion aimed at disabling opposition to the West's recolonization campaigns. Over the medium and long term, the steady drip of false accusations against countries resisting recolonization, like Syria and Iran, or Cuba, Venezuela and Nicaragua, creates false memories, corrupting and distorting the historical record and obscuring the West's crimes against those and so many other countries in the majority world.

Western ruling elites have corrupted human rights organizations and institutions at practically every level using corporate grant making and government funding. The practical results of this corruption mirror corporate techniques of control fraud and strategic avoidance of regulation. Economics writers like [Michael Hudson](#) and [William Black](#), among others, have explained how corrupt US and allied corporations have exploited these fraudulent abuses for decades. Control fraud is essentially no different from ancient practices like debasing coins, adulterating food products or selling defective goods as fit for use. They all fool people into accepting something that causes them loss, hurt and damage.

In the United States, powerful corporations control US political and institutional life sufficiently to be able to co-opt justice and escape criminal prosecution. This reality crowds out honest, socially responsible business and financial practice. Parallel to control fraud by major financial institutions, other multinational corporations, for example oil, mining or information technology corporations, operate what various writers call a "veil of tiers" strategy misrepresenting their earnings so as to avoid tax or other regulation, and legal prosecution. More legitimately, [in the field of insurance](#), the "veil of tiers" strategy spreads risks associated with potential litigation. The international human rights industry uses similar techniques to justify and cover up Western attacks against the peoples of the majority world.

The dependence of international human rights NGOs on corporate and government funding and on publicity via corporate media and public relations over time has generated the osmosis of corrupt corporate practice into the human rights industry. Writers like [Cory Morningstar](#) have analyzed exhaustively how this takeover by corporate culture of the "non-profit industrial complex serves hegemony as a sophisticated fine-tuned symbiotic mechanism in a continuous state of flux and refinement. The ruling elite channel an immeasurable amount of resources and tools through these organizations to further strengthen, protect and expand existing forms of power structures and global domination."

In a human rights context, control fraud takes the form of politically motivated, false, sensationalist accusations based on egregiously one-sided, often fact-free research, sometimes using fake pseudo-scientific reconstructions. Accountability for these false accusations is rendered negligible by means of a "veil of tiers" strategy starting at a low level with small, local or national human rights NGOs, progressing via larger international human rights NGOs and auxiliary private contractors to regional human rights institutions, then reaching United Nations organizations and ultimately the highest levels of the international human rights legal system. By excluding independent corroboration, the interchange from one level to the next imparts spurious mutual legitimacy of varying degrees between the organizations and institutions involved.

The process is quasi-judicial with zero accountability, such that attempting to counteract false accusations is extremely difficult if not impossible, especially in the short term. If anything, the human rights industry is even less accountable than multinational corporations. Two recent examples, among innumerable others, confirm the creeping monopolization of the human rights industry by corrupt corporate practice. Against both the Ukraine government in February 2014 and against the Nicaraguan government in May 2018, Western human rights NGOs made very similar accusations that their police forces murdered peaceful protestors indiscriminately. In both cases, the accusations were false.

The context of the killings in both cases was a violent attempt at regime change by a US government funded political opposition. In Ukraine's case, the opposition had been supported for over twenty years with US government funding amounting to over US\$5 billion as [confirmed in 2013 by Victoria Nuland](#), then US Assistant Secretary of State. That US government finance was in addition to funding from US corporate oligarchs like [Pierre Omidyaar](#) and [George Soros](#). The most notorious event in the regime change campaign in Ukraine took place over February 18th-20th in 2014 when over 70 people were killed in Kiev's Maidan square during violent confrontations between police and protestors. The massacre led to the overthrow of the legitimate government and its replacement by a fascist US client regime.

After the event, even CNN felt bound [to report a leaked conversation](#) between Estonia's Foreign Minister Urmas Paet and Catherine Ashton, High Representative of the European Union for Foreign Affairs in which Paet confirmed that a pro-opposition doctor treating wounded protestors claimed opposition snipers, not government security forces, had shot the protestors. That report was followed by the broadcast from Italy's Mediaset Matrix television channel of interviews, [here](#) and [here](#), with mercenary snipers confessing they had fired on both protestors and police during the Maidan protests in February 2014. The mercenaries had come forward aggrieved at not getting paid by the opposition aligned figures who hired them. Even so, the Ukraine authorities announced their investigation into the shootings was complete, simply repeating the false accusations against the former Ukrainian government despite categorically clear evidence to the contrary.

A prominent part of the Ukraine prosecutors' false case was a [virtual reconstruction of events](#) by a private New York contractor called [SITU Research](#) whose human rights work is funded by US oligarch owned grant making bodies, like the MacArthur Foundation, the Oak Foundation and the Open Society Foundations. Ivan Katchanovski of the University of Ottawa has [exposed as phony](#) the SITU Research reconstruction of the Maidan shootings, demonstrating, for example, that in various cases SITU Research's imaging moved wound locations indicated in the respective forensic autopsy reports in order to suit the video's conclusions.

[Katchanovski's detailed analysis](#) draws on other evidence omitted by SITU Research which also contradicts their claims, for example [witness testimony](#) from 25 wounded opposition supporters that they were shot from opposition controlled buildings. Katchanovski points out that numerous video and TV footage shows opposition snipers and shooters in buildings controlled by the opposition. That footage is supported by over 150 witness testimonies confirming snipers were firing from those locations.

Katchanovski also notes that Brad Samuels, founding partner of SITU Research, "[said in a video](#) [start at 55:16] that '...eventually, there is a consensus that there was a third party acting. It is clear from forensic evidence that people were shot in the back. Somebody was shooting from rooftops.' " [Katchanovski remarks](#) that Samuels' "striking observation was not included anywhere in the SITU 3D model report that he produced." Katchanovski's critical analysis of SITU Research's material and of the broader official Ukraine investigation into the Maidan massacre has never been seriously challenged.

Similar false accusations ignoring readily available contradictory evidence and also using SITU Research modeling were made against Nicaragua's government earlier this year. On May 30th the Organization of American States subsidiary body the [Interdisciplinary Group of Independent Experts](#) (GIEI), the [Argentinian Forensic Anthropology Team](#) and SITU Research jointly [published a video](#) allegedly proving that Nicaragua's police shot and killed unarmed protesters at a demonstration on May 30th 2018. But detailed [analysis of the video](#) shows that in this case too SITU Research have misrepresented data, namely the distance between the police and the protestors which was in fact about 175 metres, in order to harmonize the reality of what happened with their virtual reconstruction which claims police snipers fired from a distance of around 250 metres.

The video footage of the protests in Nicaragua contains no scenes where Nicaraguan police use their firearms. Similarly, just as in their false reconstruction of events in Kiev's Maidan square, SITU Research [omitted a substantial body](#) of information contradicting their account of the shootings in Managua on May 30th. The context in this case too was of extremely violent protests by organizations [funded by the US government with over US\\$15 million](#) just in 2017-2018. For example, local human rights organizations received over US\$3 million from the US government that year as did local media NGOs. Although two solidarity organizations wrote and published [an open letter](#) to the organizations who produced the video, respectfully questioning their findings, to date the letter has received only a formal acknowledgment without replying to the questions.

In both Ukraine and Nicaragua, the US government funded local opposition aligned NGOs to make false allegations of very serious human rights violations. A private company contractor was funded by US corporate interests to produce false pseudo-scientific material unfairly incriminating the governments for those violations. International human rights NGOs repeated the false accusations on the basis of that same false evidence. Regional human rights institutions accused the governments concerned on the basis of that same material.

The accusations are false but the Nicaraguan government and accused members of the former Ukrainian government are denied a fair defense. This same process has been repeated over and over again against governments resisting US and allied policies. Western human rights organizations share the same corrupt methodology as their corporate and government patrons. They make false claims, suppress inconvenient evidence, do all they can to avoid independent scrutiny and systematically evade accountability.

Human rights, terrorism and organized crime

Stephen Sefton, Tortilla con Sal, October 27th 2020
<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/10526>

An outstanding characteristic of the Western human rights industry has long been the way it politicizes its production to serve the foreign policy needs of its countries' governments. To that end corporate and government investors fund leading industry producers like Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, Freedom House or the International Federation for Human Rights. The formal reports and social media content of these producer organizations downplay abuse and violations by governments supported by NATO and allied country governments. By contrast, these Western NGOS exaggerate or even invent human rights abuses in countries targeted by their countries' governments.

In Latin America, widespread abuses under right wing regimes in, for example, Colombia, Honduras, Haiti or Brazil get mentioned in low key terms, if at all, while false claims by US funded opposition groups in Cuba, Nicaragua and Venezuela are amplified and broadcast with minimal or zero effort at responsible corroboration. Neither the human rights industry itself, nor the communications media and academic industries which are its main consumers, make any serious effort at investigation because they too are funded by the same or similar corporate and government investors as their human rights industry brand name suppliers.

Given the close integration across these various Western knowledge and information industries, it has been impossible for them to avoid complicity in the criminal support of their governments for terrorism and organized crime around the world. In Latin America, Bolivia, Cuba, Venezuela and Nicaragua are the clearest examples of this reality. In Nicaragua's case, the violent failed coup attempt of 2018 involved the use of both terrorism and organized crime by US funded and directed proxies. Abundant material exists, for example [here](#) and [here](#), based on reliable, often first-hand sources that narrate and document very serious crimes and egregious human rights abuses in Nicaragua by the violent US funded opposition between April and July 2018

The UN Office on Drugs and organized Crime has produced valuable material on the use of organized crime for political ends in its "[University Module Series on Linkages between Organized Crime and Terrorism](#)". The UNODC Module Series offers various definitions of terrorism, for example:

- the unlawful use of violence and intimidation, especially against civilians, in the pursuit of political aims.
- the conduct of premeditated violent acts or the threat of violence perpetrated by members of an organized group, designed to create fear in an adversary or specific segment of society
- the deliberate creation and exploitation of fear through violence or the threat of violence in the pursuit of political change
- the threatened or actual use of illegal force and violence to attain a political, economic, religious, or social goal through fear, coercion or intimidation.
- illegitimate use of force to achieve a political objective by targeting innocent people

All of these definitions apply to the violent actions of Nicaragua's US funded and controlled opposition in 2018. They and their US funders and strategists sought to overthrow Nicaragua's democratically elected government. They used the threat of violence and actual acts of violence to create fear to achieve the political change they sought. They used illegal force, violent extortion and murder to coerce and intimidate innocent people to achieve their political objectives.

Furthermore, the UNODC Module Series explains that the [UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime](#) defines organized crime as :

"A structured group of three or more persons, existing for a period of time and acting in concert with the aim of committing one or more serious crimes or offences established in accordance with this Convention, in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit."

The Convention defines a serious crime as any offence punishable by at least four years imprisonment. Likewise, among the Convention's definitions of transnational organized crime is any offence committed in one State but when a substantial part of its preparation, planning, direction or control takes place in another State.

All these definitions apply to the violent crimes of the US funded Nicaraguan opposition in 2018. Among the crimes [prosecuted by the Nicaraguan authorities](#) many of which have been independently documented and corroborated, figure multiple cases of arson, extortion, hostage taking, serious assault causing grievous bodily harm, robbery with violence, torture and murder.

When in 2018 Nicaragua's Vice-Foreign Minister [Valdrack Jaentshcke asked](#) then head of the Inter American Commission for Human Rights delegation to Nicaragua, Paulo Abrao, why the IACHR refused to investigate opposition killings of police officers and government workers, Abrao replied that they fell outside the IACHR mandate because IACHR doctrine was that human rights can only be violated by States.

Later, when the Nicaraguan authorities defeated the terrorist coup attempt and applied due process to prosecute those guilty of the opposition's violent crimes, the IACHR and the rest of the Western human rights industry rose up and accused Nicaragua's government of mounting political prosecutions.

To the contrary, the material brought together in the UNODC Module Series is very helpful in terms of revealing the nature of the unquestionable systematic crimes committed by the US funded political opposition in Nicaragua during 2018.

For example, the [1979 International Convention against the Taking of Hostages](#) expressly asserts that taking hostages is a terrorist act. It defines a hostage taker as:

"any person who seizes or detains and threatens to kill, to injure or to continue to detain another person in order to compel a third party, namely, a State, an international intergovernmental organization, a natural or juridical person, or group of persons, to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for the release of the hostage".

In relation to the funding of terrorism, the UNODC notes that:

“The 1999 International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism has far-reaching implications for investigating and punishing criminal financial activities used to fund acts of terrorism. Within the framework of this Convention, a person commits a criminal offence if they: [B]y any means, directly or indirectly, unlawfully and wilfully, provide or collect funds with the intention that they should be used or in the knowledge that they are to be used, in full or in part, in order to carry out: [...] (b) Any other act intended to cause death or serious bodily injury to a civilian, or to any other person not taking an active part in the hostilities in a situation of armed conflict, when the purpose of such act, by its nature or context, is to intimidate a population, or to compel a government or an international organization to do or to abstain from doing any act.”

Victim testimony and media reports confirm that US funded terrorist criminals and human rights abusers like Felix Maradiaga, Eva Coppens, Francisca Ramirez and Medardo Mairena all committed terrorist crimes in 2018 in Nicaragua. Even so, they and other terrorist criminals have been feted by the Western human rights industry as heroic defenders of human rights.

While certainly outrageous, this fact is far from surprising, since the US authorities and their NATO country vassals have been sponsors and funders of terrorism against various target governments and countries for decades.

One way or another, governments and corporate interests fund their accomplices in the Western human rights industry and in both the related knowledge and information industry in Western universities and the communications industry of Western corporate and alternative media.

Beyond Nicaragua, in their reporting of events from Syria to Venezuela or Iran to Ukraine, they are all guilty to one degree or another of promoting terrorism and covering up organized crime.

Reference links

[UN Human Rights Report ignores the human rights of the majority of Nicaraguans](http://www.nscag.org/news/article/279/un-human-rights-report-ignores-the-human-rights-of-the-majority-of-nicaraguans)

<http://www.nscag.org/news/article/279/un-human-rights-report-ignores-the-human-rights-of-the-majority-of-nicaraguans>

[Live from Nicaragua : Uprising or Coup? - A Reader](https://afgj.org/nicanotes-live-from-nicaragua-uprising-or-coup)

<https://afgj.org/nicanotes-live-from-nicaragua-uprising-or-coup>

[Chronicles of a failed coup](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5177)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5177>

[Evaluative report of the biased, politicized conduct of the Interamerican Human Rights Commission in Nicaragua](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/3915)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/3915>

[Human rights and the failed coup - Nicaragua - Derechos humanos y el golpe fallido](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/4350)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/4350>

[Nicaragua suspends the presence of OAS bodies that supported the attempted coup](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5158)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5158>

[Denis Moncada Colindres – Nicaragua’s Letter to Foreign Ministers of Latin America and the Caribbean](https://libya360.wordpress.com/2019/01/02/nicaraguas-letter-to-foreign-ministers-of-latin-america-and-the-caribbean/)

<https://libya360.wordpress.com/2019/01/02/nicaraguas-letter-to-foreign-ministers-of-latin-america-and-the-caribbean/>

[Nicaraguan Foreign Minister Denis Moncada Condemns Luis Almagro’s Abuse of OAS Charter](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5243)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5243>

[Interview with Nicaragua’s Foreign Minister Denis Moncada Colindres](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5232)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5232>

[Amnesty International on Nicaragua - false, cynical, incompetent](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6171)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6171>

[How they tried to assassinate the president of the UNEN in the UPOLI](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6576)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6576>

[The UPOLI was a base for terrorists supported by the MRS and other US henchmen](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6582)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6582>

[Human Rights Ombudsman reports on their work since April 18th 2018 to date in support of victims of the failed coup attempt](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6659)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/6659>

[NSCAG calls Amnesty International to account](http://www.nscag.org/news/article/288/nscag-calls-amnesty-international-to-account)

<http://www.nscag.org/news/article/288/nscag-calls-amnesty-international-to-account>

[Enduring false witness - Amnesty International on Nicaragua](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9390)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9390>

[Dismissing the Truth -Why Amnesty International is wrong about Nicaragua](https://afgj.org/dismissing-the-truth-why-amnesty-international-is-wrong-about-nicaragua)

<https://afgj.org/dismissing-the-truth-why-amnesty-international-is-wrong-about-nicaragua>

[Counting deaths for dollars - the rise and fall of Nicaragua’s ‘human rights’ organizations](https://thegrayzone.com/2019/08/25/deaths-for-dollars-nicaraguas-human-rights-organizations/)

<https://thegrayzone.com/2019/08/25/deaths-for-dollars-nicaraguas-human-rights-organizations/>

[Human rights à la carte - the attack on Nueva Radio Ya](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9429)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9429>

[Truth, Justice and Peace Commission exposes false data of the IACHR](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9667)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9667>

[Open Letter to the IACHR](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9667)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9667>

[Nicaragua 2018 - Uncensoring the truth](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/10378)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/10378>

[Nicaragua - Virtual reality and human rights](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9639)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9639>

[The Argentine Forensic Anthropology Team and Nicaragua - Science or Injustice?](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9638)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/9638>

[**Progressive Media Promoted a False Story of ‘Conflict Beef’ From Nicaragua**](https://fair.org/home/progressive-media-promoted-a-false-story-of-conflict-beef-from-nicaragua/)

[**https://fair.org/home/progressive-media-promoted-a-false-story-of-conflict-beef-from-nicaragua/**](https://fair.org/home/progressive-media-promoted-a-false-story-of-conflict-beef-from-nicaragua/)

[Nicaragua rebuffs attacks at human rights hearing](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/11346)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/11346>

[Nicaragua's Indigenous Peoples - Neocolonial Lies, Autonomous Reality](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/11275)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/11275>

[Betraying human rights – from Ivory Coast to Nicaragua](http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5930)

<http://www.tortillaconsal.com/tortilla/node/5930>